

Highly Enantio- and Diastereoselective One-Pot Methods for the Synthesis of Halocyclopropyl Alcohols

Hun Young Kim, Luca Salvi, Patrick J. Carroll, and Patrick J. Walsh*

P. Roy and Diana T. Vagelos Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, 231 South 34th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323

Received January 19, 2008; E-mail: pwalsh@sas.upenn.edu

Abstract: The lack of methods for the stereoselective transfer of functionalized carbenoids is one of the most significant deficiencies of Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation reactions. Outlined herein are one-pot methods for the catalytic asymmetric synthesis of halocyclopropyl alcohols with up to four stereogenic centers from achiral starting materials. The first method involves asymmetric alkyl addition to a conjugated enal to generate an allylic alkoxide followed by tandem diastereoselective iodo-, bromo-, or chlorocyclopropanation to furnish halocyclopropyl alcohols. Enantioselectivities in these processes range from 89–99%, and dr's of >20:1 were achieved with all substrates optimized. The second method consists of an asymmetric vinylation of a saturated or aromatic aldehyde followed by a diastereoselective iodocyclopropanation to produce iodocyclopropyl alcohols with enantioselectivities between 86 and 99% and dr's of >20:1. These complementary methods enable the efficient synthesis of a variety of halocyclopropyl alcohols in one-pot procedures. Preliminary efforts to functionalize iodocyclopropanes involve reaction with an excess of $\text{LiCu}(n\text{-Bu})_2$ to generate the cyclopropyl cuprate. This intermediate can be quenched with allyl bromides to generate the allylated cyclopropyl alcohols without loss of enantio- or diastereoselectivity.

1. Introduction

Cyclopropyl groups are found widely throughout natural product and medicinal chemistry, and cyclopropyl-containing compounds have been shown to exhibit important biological activity.^{1–4} Furthermore, these strained cycloalkanes are versatile intermediates in synthesis, allowing access to either functionalized cyclopropanes or ring-opened products.⁵ The importance of enantioenriched cyclopropanes has inspired considerable effort toward their synthesis.^{6–9} Remarkable progress has been made in the area of enantioselective rhodium-catalyzed decomposition of diazoesters and related precursors to afford enantio- and diastereoenriched cyclopropanes (although certain disubstituted alkenes remain challenging substrates). Complementary to these methods are halomethylmetal-mediated cyclopropanation reactions (Simmons–Smith cyclopropanations).^{8,10,11} In contrast to the successful rhodium-catalyzed cyclopropanations, catalysts for asymmetric Simmons–Smith


cyclopropanations of olefins^{12,13} and allylic alcohols^{6,14–20} have been plagued by moderate enantioselectivities, high catalyst loadings (typically 10–25 mol %)^{6,21} and mediocre substrate generality.²² These problems underscore the difficulty in asymmetric Simmons–Smith cyclopropanations.²¹

Given the formidable challenge of developing enantioselective Simmons–Smith catalysts, we considered alternative strategies for the stereoselective synthesis of cyclopropanes. We envisioned a catalytic enantioselective carbonyl addition to generate an allylic zinc alkoxide intermediate followed by a diastereoselective cyclopropanation (Scheme 1).²³ Performing these reactions in tandem enables formation of three C–C bonds and up to three stereocenters in a one-pot procedure with excellent

- (1) Pietruszka, J. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 1051–1070.
- (2) Wessjohann, L. A.; Brandt, W.; Thiemann, T. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 1625–1648.
- (3) Donaldson, W. A. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 8589–8627.
- (4) Salaun, J. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2000**, *207*, 1–67.
- (5) *Small Ring Compounds in Organic Synthesis VI*; de Meijere, A., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2000; Vol. 207.
- (6) Lebel, H.; Marcoux, J.-F.; Molinaro, C.; Charette, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 977–1050.
- (7) Charette, A. B.; Marcoux, J.-F. *Synlett* **1995**, 1197–1207.
- (8) Simmons, H. E.; Smith, R. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1959**, *81*, 4256–4264.
- (9) Rubin, M.; Rubina, M.; Gevorgyan, V. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 3117–3179.
- (10) Charette, A. B.; Beauchemin, A. *Org. React.* **2001**, *58*, 1–415.
- (11) Denmark, S. E.; Christenson, B. L.; O'Connor, S. P.; Noriaki, M. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1996**, *68*, 23–27.

- (12) Long, J.; Yuan, Y.; Shi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13632–13633.
- (13) Long, J.; Du, H.; Li, K.; Shi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 2737–2740.
- (14) Charette, A. B.; Molinaro, C.; Brochu, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 12168–12175.
- (15) Takahashi, H.; Yoshioka, M.; Ohno, M.; Kobayashi, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 2575–2578.
- (16) Denmark, S. E.; O'Connor, S. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 3390–3401.
- (17) Imai, N.; Sakamoto, K.; Takahashi, H.; Kobayashi, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 7045–7048.
- (18) Takahashi, H.; Yoshioka, M.; Shibasaki, M.; Ohno, M.; Imai, N.; Kobayashi, S. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 12013–12026.
- (19) Voitouriez, A.; Charette, A. B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 2363–2370.
- (20) Balsells, J.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 5005–5008.
- (21) Charette, A. B. In *The Chemistry of Organozinc Compounds*; Rappoport, Z., Marek, I., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: West Sussex, U.K., 2006; p 237–286.
- (22) For an exception, see: Shitama, H.; Katsuki, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2450–2453.
- (23) Kim, H. Y.; Lurain, A. E.; García-García, P.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 13138–13139.

Scheme 1. Tandem Approaches to Enantio- and Diastereoenriched Cyclopropanols




stereoselectivity.²³ The two approaches we introduced consist of (A) an asymmetric addition of an alkylzinc reagent to an enal followed by diastereoselective cyclopropanation and (B) enantioselective vinyl addition to a saturated aldehyde followed by cyclopropanation. Both approaches use 4 mol % of Nugent's amino alcohol (-)-MIB^{24,25} and exhibit enantioselectivities of over 90% (with one exception).²³ The dr's were >20:1 in all cases. Although diastereoselective cyclopropanations of chiral allylic alcohols had been studied previously,^{26–28} these were the first examples of the assembly of enantio- and diastereoenriched cyclopropyl alcohols from achiral precursors in a one-pot procedure.²³

Another major limitation of the Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation is the limited number of carbenoid motifs that can be stereoselectively transferred. When carbenoid carbons bearing alkyl (CHR),^{29–32} halo (CHX),^{33–36} or alkoxy (CHOR)³⁷ groups were employed, mixtures of diastereomers were obtained with few exceptions.³⁸ These problems are exemplified by the fluorocyclopropanation in Scheme 2.³⁵

An impressive exception to the above-mentioned deficiency is Charette and co-workers' highly diastereoselective transfer of a zinc-bearing carbenoid^{39–41} to (*Z*)-allylic alcohols (Scheme 3).⁴¹ Beginning with iodoform, reaction with EtZnI generates an intermediate, IZnCHI₂, that undergoes a second exchange to form the dizinc carbenoid, (IZn)₂CHI. After cyclopropanation,

Scheme 2. Diastereoselective Fluorocyclopropanation



quenching with iodine or bromine provides the cyclopropyl halide with high dr. The reaction is only moderately diastereoselective with the (*E*) analogues unless the substrate contains a very bulky *t*-Bu or SiMe₃ group. Currently, this transformation only proceeds with substrates that bear an allylic OBn group.^{39–41}

Moreover, prior generation and purification of the chiral alcohol is required. Metalated cyclopropanes can also be prepared by addition of organometallic reagents to cyclopropenes.^{9,42–44}

Development of methods for the stereocontrolled transfer of functionalized carbenoids via the Simmons–Smith reaction would greatly expand the variety of cyclopropanes that are accessible with high enantio- and diastereoselectivity. Herein, we disclose a highly enantio- and diastereoselective one-pot synthesis of halocyclopropyl alcohols. The key steps in our approach are the generation of an enantioenriched allylic alkoxide (Scheme 1) and a novel diastereoselective *halocyclopropanation* reaction. A portion of this work has been communicated previously.²³



2. Experimental Section

Representative procedures and characterization of the products are described herein. Full experimental details and characterization of all of the compounds are provided in the Supporting Information.

General Methods. All of the reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere with oven-dried glassware. The progress of reactions was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on Whatman precoated gel 60 F-254 plates and visualized by ultraviolet light or staining with ceric ammonium molybdate stain. All of the manipulations involving dialkylzinc reagents were carried out under an inert atmosphere in a Vacuum Atmosphere drybox with an attached MO-40 Dritrain or by using standard Schlenk or vacuum-line techniques. Unless otherwise specified, all chemicals were obtained from Aldrich, Acros, or GFS chemicals, and solvents were

- (24) Nugent, W. A. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1369–1370.
 (25) Chen, Y. K.; Jeon, S. J.; Walsh, P. J.; Nugent, W. A. *Org. Synth.* **2005**, 82, 87–92.
 (26) Ratier, M.; Castaing, M.; Godet, J.-Y.; Pereyre, M. J. *J. Chem. Res., Miniprint* **1978**, 2309–2318.
 (27) Charette, A. B.; Lebel, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, 60, 2966–2967.
 (28) Charette, A. B.; Mathieu, S.; Fournier, J.-F. *Synlett* **2005**, 1779–1782.
 (29) Friedrich, E. C.; Biresaw, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, 47, 1615–1618.
 (30) Mariano, P. S.; Bay, E.; Watson, D. G.; Rose, T.; Bracken, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, 45, 1753–1762.
 (31) Groth, U.; Schollkopf, U.; Tiller, T. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1991**, 857–860.
 (32) Sugimura, T.; Katagiri, T.; Tai, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 367–368.
 (33) Miyano, S.; Hashimoto, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1974**, 47, 1500–1503.
 (34) Miyano, S.; Matsumoto, Y.; Hashimoto, H. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1975**, 364–365.
 (35) Tamura, O.; Hashimoto, M.; Kobayashi, Y.; Katoh, T.; Nakatani, K.; Kamada, M.; Hayakawa, I.; Akiba, T.; Terashima, S. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, 50, 3889–3904.
 (36) Sugimura, T.; Futagawa, T.; Mori, A.; Ryu, I.; Sonoda, N.; Tai, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 6100–6103.
 (37) Fletcher, R. J.; Motherwell, W. B.; Popkin, M. E. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 2191–2192.
 (38) Charette, A. B.; Lemay, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, 36, 1090–1092.

- (39) Charette, A. B.; Gagnon, A.; Fournier, J.-F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 386–387.
 (40) Fournier, J.-F.; Charette, A. B. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, 1401–1404.
 (41) Fournier, J.-F.; Mathieu, S.; Charette, A. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 13140–13141.
 (42) Fox, J. M.; Yan, N. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 9, 719–732.
 (43) Yan, N.; Liu, X.; Fox, J. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 563–568.
 (44) Liao, L.-A.; Fox, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 14322–14323.

Scheme 3. Charette's Diastereoselective Transfer of Geminal Dizinc Carbenoids to Benzyl (Z)-Allyl Ethers**Scheme 4.** Asymmetric Addition/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanation Optimization (see Table 1)

purchased from Fisher Scientific. Dialkylzinc compounds except dimethyl- and diethylzinc (which are commercially available) were prepared by literature methods.^{45,46} Dichloromethane and hexanes were dried through alumina columns. All aldehydes were distilled prior to use and stored under N₂. The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker 500 and 300 MHz Fourier transform spectrometers at the University of Pennsylvania NMR facility. Chemical shifts are reported in units of parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane, and coupling constants are reported in hertz. The infrared spectra were obtained using a PerkinElmer 1600 series spectrometer. Silica gel (230–400 mesh, Silicycle) was used for air-flashed chromatography. Deactivated silica gel was made by combining silica gel with 2.5 wt % NEt₃.

Caution! Dialkylzinc reagents are pyrophoric. Caution should be used in their handling.

General Procedure A. Asymmetric Additions to Enals/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanations: 1-(7-Iodobicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)propan-1-ol (1a). A 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with (–)-MIB (4.7 mg, 0.02 mmol, 4 mol %) and 1.0 mL of hexanes and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of Et₂Zn (1.0 mL, 1.0 M in hexanes, 1.0 mmol) was added, followed by dropwise addition of 1-cyclohexene carboxaldehyde (57 μL, 0.5 mmol). The solution was stirred at 0 °C until alkyl addition was complete by TLC (8 h). A solution of Et₂Zn (1.0 mL, 1.0 M in hexanes, 1.0 mmol) and neat CF₃CH₂OH (72 μL, 1.0 mmol) were added slowly at 0 °C. After the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min, iodoform (394 mg, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in 3.0 mL of dichloromethane and 4 Å molecular sieves were added. The Schlenk flask was wrapped in aluminum foil to exclude light, and stirring was continued at room temperature for 24 h, after which the reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (15 mL). The organic and aqueous layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 3 × 20 mL of dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were then washed with saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ followed by saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and then dried over MgSO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was chromatographed on deactivated silica (10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the title compound as a light yellow oil in 66% yield. [α]_D²⁰: +15.85 (c = 0.65, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 500 MHz): δ 0.87 (m, 1H), 1.00 (t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.02 (m, 2H), 1.06 (m, 1H), 1.39 (m, 5H), 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.87 (br s, 1H), 2.05 (m, 1H), 2.22 (d, 1H, J = 4.9 Hz), 3.29 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 75 MHz): δ 4.52, 11.19, 20.79, 21.79, 22.30, 23.06, 26.82, 27.55, 30.37, 82.96. IR (neat): 3396

(OH), 2927, 2855, 1454, 1102, 1020, 970, 790, 630 cm⁻¹. HRMS-Cl: *m/z* 263.0285 [(M – OH)⁺; calcd for C₁₀H₁₆I: 263.0297].

General Procedure B. Asymmetric Vinylation of Aldehydes/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanation: 1-(2-Butyl-3-iodocyclopropyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (1i). 1-Hexyne (58 μL, 0.50 mmol) and diethylborane (0.50 mL, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 M in toluene) were added to a dry flask under nitrogen and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction flask was then cooled to –78 °C, and (–)-MIB (4.7 mg, 0.02 mmol, 4 mol %) was added followed by Et₂Zn (0.3 mL, 2.0 M in dichloromethane). The reaction mixture was then warmed to –10 °C, and isobutyraldehyde (46 μL, 0.5 mmol) was added dropwise. The solution was stirred for 8 h at –10 °C until vinyl addition was complete by TLC. The volatile materials, including the Et₃B byproduct, were removed in vacuo at 0 °C. Hexanes (2.0 mL) were added, and the volatile materials were again removed under reduced pressure. This step was repeated two more times to ensure removal of Et₃B. Et₂Zn (1.5 mL, 1.5 mmol, 1.0 M in dichloromethane), iodoform (3.0 mmol, 6 equiv in 5.0 mL of dichloromethane), and activated 4 Å molecular sieves were added at 0 °C. The Schlenk flask was covered with aluminum foil to exclude light, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction was then quenched by addition of a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (15 mL). The organic and aqueous layers were separated, and then the aqueous layer was extracted with 3 × 20 mL of dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were then washed with saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and dried over MgSO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was chromatographed on deactivated silica (3% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil in 64% yield. [α]_D²⁰: +16.66 (c = 0.50, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 2.93 (dd, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz, 7.2 Hz), 2.57 (dd, 1H, J = 4.4, 7.8 Hz), 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.52 (br s, 1H), 1.43 (m, 2H), 1.31 (m, 4H), 0.91 (d, 6H, J = 6.67 Hz), 0.86 (t, 3H, J = 7.1), 0.83 (m, 1H), 0.42 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ 79.02, 34.52, 34.26, 33.85, 30.83, 22.74, 21.08, 18.85, 18.00, 14.30, –3.53. IR (neat): 3421 (OH), 2958, 2857, 1465, 1379, 1224, 998, 801 cm⁻¹. HRMS-Cl: *m/z* 279.0609 [(M – OH)⁺; calcd for C₁₁H₂₀I: 279.0609].

General Procedure C. Asymmetric Addition to an Enal/Diastereoselective Bromocyclopropanation: (3-Bromo-2,2-dimethylcyclopropyl)propan-1-ol (2b). A 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with (–)-MIB (4.7 mg, 0.02 mmol, 4 mol %) and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of Et₂Zn (0.50 mL, 2.0 M in dichloromethane) was added, followed by dropwise addition of 3-methyl-2-butenal (48 μL, 0.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 8 h until the addition was complete by TLC. A solution of Et₂Zn (1.25 mL, 2.5 mmol, 2.0 M in dichloromethane) and neat

(45) Rozema, M. J.; Sidduri, A.; Knochel, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 1956–1958.

(46) Langer, F.; Schwink, L.; Devasagayaraj, A.; Chavant, P.-Y.; Knochel, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 8229–8243.

CF₃CH₂OH (179 μ L, 2.5 mmol) were added slowly at 0 °C. After the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 5 min, bromoform (218 μ L, 2.5 mmol) was injected into the reaction mixture. The flask was covered with aluminum foil, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (10 mL), and the organic and aqueous layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 3 \times 15 mL of dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were then washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was chromatographed on deactivated silica (3% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil in 75% yield. [α]_D²⁰: +33.75 (*c* = 0.5, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 3.48 (m, 1H), 2.97 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.46 Hz), 2.02 (br s, 1H), 1.58 (m, 2H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.11 (s, 3H), 0.97 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.65 Hz), 0.89 (dd, 1H, *J* = 7.46, 9.68 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 72.29, 35.56, 33.86, 29.81, 27.48, 21.15, 18.38, 10.25. IR (neat): 3457 (OH), 2930, 1450, 1254, 1169, 1112, 1010, 966, 698 cm⁻¹. HRMS-Cl: *m/z* 190.1058 [(M - OH)⁺]; calcd for C₈H₁₄Br: 190.1053].

General Procedure D. Asymmetric Addition to an Enal/Diastereoselective Chlorocyclopropanation: 1-(3-Chloro-2,2-dimethylcyclopropyl)ethanol (3b). A 10 mL Schlenk flask was charged with (-)-MIB (4.7 mg, 0.02 mmol, 4 mol %) and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of Et₂Zn (0.50 mL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 M in dichloromethane) was added followed by dropwise addition of 3-methyl-2-butenal (48 μ L, 0.5 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 8 h until alkyl addition was complete by TLC. A solution of Et₂Zn (1.25 mL, 2.5 mmol, 2.0 M in dichloromethane) and neat CF₃CH₂OH (179 μ L, 2.5 mmol) were added slowly at 0 °C. After the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 5 min, dibromochloromethane (212 μ L, 2.5 mmol) was added. The Schlenk flask was wrapped with aluminum foil, and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction was then quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (15 mL); the organic and aqueous layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 3 \times 20 mL of dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were then washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was chromatographed on deactivated silica (3% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil in 70% yield. [α]_D²⁰: +11.20 (*c* = 0.5, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 3.55 (m, 1H), 3.01 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.47 Hz), 1.88 (br s, 1H), 1.59 (m, 2H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 0.98 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.52 Hz), 0.89 (dd, 1H, *J* = 7.47, 9.77 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 70.79, 43.66, 34.23, 29.93, 27.34, 21.53, 16.23, 10.22. IR (neat): 3407 (OH), 2859, 1450, 1259, 1085, 801, 749 cm⁻¹. HRMS-Cl: *m/z* 145.0779 [(M - OH)⁺]; calcd for C₈H₁₄Cl: 145.0784].

General Procedure E. Allylation of Iodocyclopropyl Alcohols: 1-(3-Allyl-2,2-dimethylcyclopropyl)propan-1-ol (4). An oven-dried 25.0 mL round-bottom flask that had been thoroughly purged with N₂ was charged with CuI (1.4 mmol, 266 mg, 5 equiv) and dry THF (3.0 mL) and cooled to -50 °C. A solution of *n*-BuLi (1.12 mL, 2.5 M in decane, 2.8 mmol, 10 equiv) was slowly added, and the resulting solution was allowed to stir for 10 min at this temperature. The iodocyclopropyl alcohol 1-(3-iodo-2,2-dimethylcyclopropyl)propan-1-ol (0.28 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL) was added to the resulting black solution of LiCu(*n*-Bu)₂, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at -50 °C. Allyl bromide (121 μ L, 1.4 mmol) was added, and the solution was stirred for 30 min, after which the reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (10 mL). The organic and aqueous layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 3 \times 10 mL of diethyl ether. The combined organic layers were then washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was chromatographed on deactivated silica (5% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil in 75% yield. [α]_D²⁰: +9.40 (*c* = 0.5, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 6.02 (m, 1H), 5.22 (m, 1H), 5.09 (m, 1H), 3.30 (m, 1H), 2.11 (m, 2H), 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.10 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.37 Hz), 1.02 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.75

Table 1. Optimization of the Iodocyclopropanation to Form **1a** in Scheme 4 by Screening of Zinc Carbenoids and Reaction Conditions

entry	carbenoid	equiv	<i>T</i> (°C)	dr	yield (%) ^a	comment
1	EtZnCHI ₂	5	20	>20:1	nd	low conversion ^b
2	IZnCHI ₂	5	20	>20:1	nd	low conversion ^b
3	CF ₃ CO ₂ ZnCHI ₂	2	20	~3:1	18	many products
4	CF ₃ CO ₂ ZnCHI ₂	2	0	~10:1	25	
5	CF ₃ CH ₂ OZnCHI ₂	2	20	>20:1	30	
6	CF ₃ CH ₂ OZnCHI ₂	5	0	>20:1	nd	low conversion ^b
7	CF ₃ CH ₂ OZnCHI ₂	2	20	>20:1	66	4 Å MS added

^a After 24 h. ^b Some allylic alcohol was recovered in these runs.

(dt, 1H, *J* = 7.1, 8.3 Hz), 0.67 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.3, 9.8 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 139.78, 114.55, 70.54, 33.85, 31.56, 29.45, 29.28, 26.03, 22.15, 15.75, 10.44. IR (neat): 3459 (OH), 2929, 1619, 1376, 1114, 958, 801, 726, 660, 597 cm⁻¹. HRMS-Cl: *m/z* 151.1478 [(M - OH)⁺]; calcd for C₁₁H₁₉: 151.1491].

3. Results and Discussion


3.1. Asymmetric Alkylation of Enals/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanation. As outlined in the Introduction, the stereoselective transfer of substituted carbenoids in Simmons–Smith reactions remains a significant challenge. Development of such methods would enable synthesis of cyclopropanes that are not accessible by state-of-the-art protocols. When we initiated our studies, no precedent existed regarding stereoselectivity in alkoxide-directed halocyclopropanations. Subsequent to our initial communication in 2005,²³ a study involving iodocyclopropanation of unfunctionalized olefins mediated by CrCl₂ appeared. High diastereoselectivities were obtained in some cases, although the reactions were not enantioselective.⁴⁷ Furthermore, only terminal alkenes were observed to undergo iodocyclopropanation under the reported conditions.

Intrigued by the potential synthetic utility of enantioenriched halocyclopropane derivatives, we investigated the catalytic asymmetric generation of enantio- and diastereoenriched iodocyclopropanes via diastereoselective transfer of *iodocarbenoids*. Previously, Miyano and Hashimoto³³ demonstrated that the preparation of iodocyclopropane derivatives could be performed using a combination of CHI₃ and ZnEt₂. Unfortunately, they observed low yields and stereoselectivities.

In our approach to iodocyclopropanes, we initially conducted the asymmetric addition/iodocyclopropanation as in Scheme 1 but used CHI₃ and ZnEt₂ in a 1:1 ratio to generate Hashimoto's³³ carbenoid, EtZnCHI₂. In the presence of the allylic alkoxide addition product, we were pleased to find that EtZnCHI₂ mediated the cyclopropanation to form iodocyclopropane **1a** with excellent diastereoselectivity (Scheme 4, Table 1). Similar levels of diastereoselectivity were observed with dichloromethane, hexanes, and toluene. We opted for dichloromethane because of the greater solubility of iodoform in this solvent. The conversion and yield were low, however, possibly as a result of the moderate reactivity of this carbenoid (Table 1, entry 1). The more reactive halozinc carbenoid IZnCHI₂ did not improve the conversion to iodocyclopropane **1a** (entry 2). Next we turned to Shi-type zinc carbenoids, which contain electron-withdrawing substituents such as CF₃CO₂⁻ bound to the zinc.⁴⁸ The cyclopropanation reactions employing CF₃CO₂ZnCHI₂ exhibited

(47) Takai, K.; Toshikawa, S.; Inoue, A.; Kokumai, R.; Hirano, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2007**, *692*, 520–529.

(48) Yang, Z. Q.; Lorenz, J. C.; Shi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 8621–8624.

Scheme 5. Asymmetric Alkylation of Enals/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanation (see Table 2)

enhanced reactivity, with complete consumption of the allylic alkoxide in less than 24 h at 20 °C. Unfortunately, the diastereoselectivity was compromised (3:1, entry 3). Decreasing the reaction temperature improved the diastereoselectivity (10:1) as well as the isolated yield of the product to 25% (entry 4). We were unsuccessful at further optimizing this process with $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{ZnCHI}_2$, and began to investigate the impact of different electron-withdrawing ligands on the zinc, such as $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O}^-$ (entries 5–7). Gratifyingly, combination of $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$, ZnEt_2 , CHI_3 , and activated 4 Å molecular sieves resulted in the formation of the desired iodocyclopropane **1a** in 66% yield with excellent diastereoselectivity (entry 7).

The optimized conditions for the iodocyclopropanation reaction in Table 1 with our new reagent $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OZnCHI}_2$ were then applied to a series of substrates (Scheme 5). Di- and trisubstituted alkenes underwent iodocyclopropanation with good yields (Table 2). Importantly, after optimization, only a single diastereomer was observed by ^1H NMR spectroscopy in each case.²³ This is particularly impressive because the allylic alkoxide intermediates in Scheme 5 can be grouped into three different classes: those exhibiting $A^{1,2}$ strain, $A^{1,3}$ strain, or neither $A^{1,2}$ nor $A^{1,3}$ strain in one of the diastereomeric transition states for the iodocyclopropanation. To facilitate the discussion of diastereoselectivity in the cyclopropanations, the structures in Scheme 5 are drawn such that interaction between R^1 and R^2 ($R^2 \neq \text{H}$, $R^3 = \text{H}$) leads to $A^{1,2}$ strain whereas interaction between R^1 and R^3 ($R^3 \neq \text{H}$, $R^2 = \text{H}$) results in $A^{1,3}$ strain. Neither significant $A^{1,2}$ nor $A^{1,3}$ strain is present when $R^2 = R^3 = \text{H}$. In Table 2, entries 1, 4, and 7 exhibited $A^{1,2}$ strain in the less favorable diastereomeric transition states, while entries 2, 5, 6, and 8 exhibited $A^{1,3}$ strain. Entry 3, with $R^2 = R^3 = \text{H}$, did not exhibit significant $A^{1,2}$ or $A^{1,3}$ strain yet still underwent iodocyclopropanation with excellent diastereoselectivity to yield **1c**. In contrast to these cyclopropanations, highly diastereoselective epoxidations of allylic alcohols or allylic alkoxides proceeded only when $A^{1,2}$ or $A^{1,3}$ strain was present in one of the diastereomeric transition states.^{49–52} It is noteworthy that when the addition/iodocyclopropanation reaction was carried out sequentially with isolation and purification of the allylic alcohols followed by iodocyclopropanation, **1a** and **1b** were again obtained with high dr (>20:1), in analogy with the one-pot procedures.

To our knowledge, these results constitute the first examples of transfer of halogenated carbenoids in a Simmons–Smith

cyclopropanation with excellent control of stereochemistry. It is noteworthy that up to four stereogenic centers can be established from achiral precursors in this one-pot procedure.

The stereochemistries of the iodocyclopropanes **1a** and **1b** were established by X-ray crystal structure determinations. Both of these structures have syn cyclopropyl alcohols (see the Supporting Information). Surprisingly, the iodide is cis to the carbinol in **1a** and **1b**, which is likely the sterically more demanding position (Scheme 5A). It has been demonstrated that the stereochemistry of related iodocyclopropanes can be successfully assigned using proton coupling constants.^{33,43,53,54} The stereochemistries of the remaining entries in Table 2 were assigned on the basis of H–H coupling constants between CHI and the remaining hydrogens of the cyclopropane ring. The coupling constants for hydrogens with a *cis* disposition on the cyclopropane ring are ~8 Hz while hydrogens with a *trans* relationship exhibit coupling constants of 3–5 Hz. For example, the two trans hydrogens attached to the cyclopropane in **1a** exhibit $J = 4.9$ Hz, and the *cis* cyclopropane hydrogens in **1b** exhibit $J = 7.8$ Hz. Likewise, in entries 5–8, the carbinol and iodide were *cis* by ^1H NMR spectroscopy (for a complete listing of the coupling constants used to assign the stereochemistries, see the Supporting Information). Surprisingly, the coupling constants between the two cyclopropane hydrogens in **1d** exhibit $J = 8.4$ Hz, indicating that the iodide is *trans* to the carbinol. Likewise, the coupling constant between the benzylic hydrogen and CHI in **1c** is 8.2 Hz, indicating that the iodide and carbinol are also *trans*.

It is generally accepted that Simmons–Smith cyclopropanations proceed via a “butterfly-type” transition state, as shown in Figure 1A.^{6,21} It is possible, however, that removal of the departing iodide could be aided by a second zinc center. On the basis of this model and the stereochemistries of the products in entries 1, 2, and 5–8 of Table 2, we propose that the iodide transferred with the carbenoid carbon weakly coordinates to one of the zinc centers, lowering the energy of this transition state and leading to excellent control over the stereoselectivity (Figure 1B). Although we are unaware of direct precedent for such interactions in zinc chemistry, it is known that alkyl halides, such as dichloromethane and methyl iodide, can coordinate to transition-metal complexes with formation of $L_n\text{M}-\text{XCH}_2\text{Y}$ adducts^{55–58} and that *ortho*-diiodobenzene can chelate to transition metals through the iodides in the solid state and solution.^{59,60}

The intimate details of the transition state in these iodocyclopropanation reactions are currently unknown, as are the factors responsible for the dramatic reversal in stereochemistry observed in entries 3 and 4 of Table 2. Two scenarios can be imagined, however. The interaction of Zn(II) with unsaturated π systems has been indicated in the control of stereoselective

(49) Lurain, A. E.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 1262–1268.

(50) Kelly, A. R.; Lurain, A. E.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 14668–14674.

(51) Lurain, A. E.; Maestri, A.; Kelly, A. R.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 13608–13609.

(52) Adam, W.; Wirth, T. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *32*, 703–710.

(53) Seyferth, D.; Yamazaki, H.; Alleston, D. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1963**, *28*, 703–706.

(54) Miyano, S.; Hashimoto, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1973**, *46*, 892–897.

(55) Arndtsen, B. A.; Bergman, R. G. *Science* **1995**, *270*, 1970–1973.

(56) Burk, M. J.; Segmuller, B.; Crabtree, R. H. *Organometallics* **1987**, *6*, 2241–2246.

(57) Fukuyama, T.; Czech, P. T.; Gladysz, J. A.; Fenske, R. F. *Organometallics* **1989**, *8*, 1806–1810.

(58) Winter, C. H.; Arif, A. M.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 7560–7561.

(59) Crabtree, R. H.; Faller, J. W.; Mellea, M. F.; Quirk, J. M. *Organometallics* **1982**, *1*, 1361–1366.

(60) Albiez, P. J. J.; Cleary, B. P.; Paw, W.; Eisenberg, R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41*, 2095–2108.

Table 2. One-Pot Asymmetric Alkyl Addition/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanation (Scheme 5)

entry	product	% ee (% y)	dr ^a	entry	product	% ee (% y)	dr ^a
1		99 (66)	> 20:1	5		98 (74)	> 20:1
2		95 (62)	> 20:1	6		99 (78)	> 20:1
3		89 (79)	> 20:1	7		98 (70)	> 20:1
4		96 (56)	> 20:1	8		96 (60)	> 20:1

^a Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ^b 10 mol % (–)-MIB was used.




Figure 1. (A) Butterfly-type transition state for cyclopropanation and proposed transition states for iodocyclopropanation leading to the (B) cis and (C) trans products. It is possible that an additional zinc center is involved in removing the iodide leaving group from the carbenoid.

reactions.^{61,62} Furthermore, we have recently observed π interactions between Zn(II) and a C–C double bond in the solid state,⁶³ while Bochmann and co-workers^{64,65} have characterized η^2 binding of toluene to Zn(C₆F₅)₂ in the solid state and Vigalok and co-workers⁶⁶ have presented solid-state and solution evidence for Zn(II) interactions with alkyne π systems. Although the number of zinc centers and the nature of their interaction with the phenyl substituent in the cyclopropanation transition state remain to be elucidated, we speculate that Zn– π interactions may be responsible for the observed reversal in diastereoselectivity in substrates bearing phenyl groups at the R⁴ position (Scheme 5). The second scenario is based on the well-known interaction of iodine with aromatic π systems.^{67–69} We are unaware of any precedent for related interactions with C–I bonds. Furthermore, the relative orientation of and distance between the C–I σ^* orbital and the phenyl π system do not seem appropriate for such an interaction.


Preliminary studies to probe the impact of the electronic character of the π system on the diastereoselectivity in the iodocyclopropanation were undertaken. Under the conditions employed in Table 2, cinnamaldehyde and 4-trifluoromethyl cinnamaldehyde were subjected to ethyl addition/iodocyclopropanation. As shown in Table 2, cinnamaldehyde underwent the addition/iodocyclopropanation with a dr of >20:1. In contrast, the trifluoromethyl analogue resulted in 5:1 diastereoselectivity under identical reaction conditions, although the reaction only went to 75% completion. The major diastereomer was the same in both cases. These results indicate that the electron density of the aryl π system impacts the diastereoselectivity, which would be expected if the transition state in Figure 1C is operating. It is likely that the diastereoselectivity and conversion with the trifluoromethyl substrate could be optimized.

3.2. Asymmetric Vinylation of Aldehydes/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanation. We have found that asymmetric vinylation of aldehydes, developed by Oppolzer and Radinov,^{70,71} is a versatile method for preparing allylic alcohols with high enantioselectivity.^{49,51,72,73} In our earlier study,²³ we employed the addition of vinyl groups to saturated aldehydes en route to cyclopropyl alcohols (Scheme 1B). Following Oppolzer and Radinov's hydroboration/transmetalation method, we generated vinylzinc reagents in situ. In the presence of (–)-MIB, clean vinylation of aldehydes proceeded to furnish the allylic alkoxide


- (61) Marek, I.; Beruben, D.; Normant, J.-F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 3695–3698.
 (62) Beruben, D.; Marek, I.; Normant, J. F.; Platzer, N. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2488–2501.
 (63) Wooten, A.; Carroll, P. J.; Maestri, A. G.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 4624–4631.
 (64) Guerrero, A.; Martin, E.; Hughes, D. L.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Bochmann, M. *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 3311–3313.
 (65) Walker, D. A.; Woodman, T. J.; Hughes, D. L.; Bochmann, M. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 3772–3776.
 (66) Bukhaltsev, E.; Goldberg, I.; Cohen, R.; Vigalok, A. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 4015–4020.
 (67) Benesi, H. A.; Hildebrand, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*, 2703–2707.
 (68) Mulliken, R. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 811–824.
 (69) Cheng, P. Y.; Zhong, D.; Zewail, A. H. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 6216–6248.

- (70) Oppolzer, W.; Radinov, R. N. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1992**, *75*, 170–173.
 (71) Oppolzer, W.; Radinov, R. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 1593–1594.
 (72) Lurain, A. E.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 10677–10683.
 (73) Chen, Y. K.; Lurain, A. E.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 12225–12231.

Scheme 6. Hydroboration, Transmetalation to Zinc, and Asymmetric Vinyl Addition/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanation (see Table 3)



Scheme 7. Asymmetric Alkylation of Enals/Diastereoselective Bromo- and Chlorocyclopropanations (see Table 4)^a



^a With dimethylzinc ($R^1 = \text{Me}$), 10 mol% (-)-MIB was used.

intermediates. After removal of the volatile materials (including the triethylborane) under reduced pressure, addition of diethylzinc and CH_2I_2 (5.0 equiv each) was performed at 0 °C. This procedure allowed preparation of cyclopropyl alcohols with high enantio- and diastereoselectivities in high yields (Scheme 1B).

Our procedure for vinylation/cyclopropanation was then applied to the vinylation of aldehydes followed by iodocyclopropanation (Scheme 6). As in Table 2, the substituents are numbered to facilitate identification of $A^{1,2}$ strain in the diastereomeric iodocyclopropanation transition states. Unfortunately, the reaction was thwarted by low conversion and poor diastereoselectivity in the iodocyclopropanation. We reasoned that $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OZnCHI}_2$ might interact with the excess of vinylzinc reagent and interfere with the iodocyclopropanation. To circumvent this problem, we generated 1 equiv of the vinylzinc species, making it the limiting reagent, performed the asymmetric addition to the aldehyde, and then screened different iodocyclopropanating reagents. The carbenoids IZnCHI_2 , EtZnCHI_2 , and $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{ZnCHI}_2$ led to formation of multiple products and/or low conversion in the iodocyclopropanation. We found, however, that 3.0 equiv of bis(carbenoid)zinc, $\text{Zn}(\text{CHI}_2)_2$, were optimal for this reaction. Use of $\text{Zn}(\text{CHI}_2)_2$ enabled the synthesis of the iodocyclopropyl alcohol building blocks **1i–m** with excellent selectivities (Table 3, entries 1–5). Unexpectedly, substrates containing an aromatic ring gave diminished yields (entries 6 and 7). Although the reasons for this behavior are puzzling, we found that increasing the concentration of the zinc carbenoid from 0.24 to 0.42 M resulted in an increase in the yields of **1n** and **1o** to ~50%. The diastereoselectivities remained very high under the more concentrated conditions. It is interesting to note that the products in entries 1–6 (Table 3)

have a cis arrangement of the carbinol and iodide, while **1o** in entry 7 exhibits a trans disposition of these groups (Scheme 6B). This result is consistent with those in Scheme 5B and Figure 1.

3.3. Asymmetric Alkylation of Enals/Diastereoselective Bromo- and Chlorocyclopropanation. Encouraged by the successful iodocyclopropanations above, we turned our attention to the synthesis of other halocyclopropyl alcohols. To the best of our knowledge, no highly enantio- or diastereoselective methods for bromo- or chlorocyclopropanation were known at the outset of our work, although Charette et al.³⁹ had reported the quenching of a zinc metalocyclopropane with bromine. We hoped that bromo- and chlorocyclopropyl alcohols could be generated using an approach similar to the iodocyclopropanation. It was unclear how changing the halide would impact the diastereoselectivity, especially if a zinc–halide interaction such as that proposed in Figure 1B is involved.








The first step in the formation of the carbenoid is a zinc–halogen exchange reaction. Knochel has shown that alkyl exchange between dialkylzinc reagents and alkyl iodides proceeds rapidly.^{74,75} Thus, we desired to initiate the bromocyclopropanation with CHBr_2 ; however, this reagent is not readily available. The need to prepare polyhalomethane precursors for the halocyclopropanation would make the methodology less attractive and useful. We therefore focused on commercially available polyhalomethane precursors such as bromoform (Scheme 7). To overcome the lower reactivity of bromoform relative to iodoform in the exchange reaction with diethylzinc in the bromocyclopropanation, we generated an excess of the cyclopropanating reagents under more concentrated conditions. Thus, use of 1.11 M $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OZnCHBr}_2$ (5 equiv) led to formation of the bromocyclopropane with high diastereoselectivity but low conversion (after 24 h at rt). Slightly better yields (~60%) were obtained after 50 h with 1.11 M carbenoid. A further increase in carbenoid concentration to 2.50 M and a change of solvent to dichloromethane resulted in higher yields (70–80%) in Scheme 7 (Table 4, entries 1–5). Under these conditions, substrates possessing trisubstituted double bonds underwent full conversion to the bromocyclopropane products within 24 h at ambient temperature, yielding a single diastereomer with >95% ee. Allylic alcohols possessing disubstituted double bonds, such as those derived from ethyl addition to *trans*-cinnamaldehyde and (*Z*)-4-(benzyloxy)-but-2-enal did not undergo bromocyclopropanation under these conditions. The stereochemistry of the bromocyclopropyl alcohols **2b** was determined by X-ray analysis (Table 4, entry 2; see the Supporting Information). The relationship between the bromide and the carbinol in entries 1, 2, 4, and 5 was determined to be cis by measurement of the coupling constants between the cyclopropane hydrogens using ^1H NMR. The stereochemistry of **2c** (entry 3), however, was assigned as trans on the basis of the coupling constant of the cyclopropyl C–H's ($J = 8.18$ Hz) and X-ray analysis. The trans stereochemistry of **2c** is consistent with the result of the iodocyclopropanation reaction of related substrates (**1c**, **1d**, and **1o**).

The synthesis of chlorocyclopropyl alcohols was then attempted using bromodichloromethane as the carbenoid precursor (Scheme 7). Initially, 5 equiv each of diethylzinc, bromodichloromethane, and trifluoroethanol were mixed to generate a 1.11 M solution of the carbenoid $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OZnCHCl}_2$ at room

(74) Knochel, P. *Chemtracts: Org. Chem.* **1995**, *8*, 205–221.











(75) Knochel, P.; Singer, R. D. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 2117–2188.

Table 3. One-Pot Asymmetric Vinyl Addition/Diastereoselective Iodocyclopropanation (Scheme 6)

entry	product	% ee (% y)	dr ^a	entry	product	% ee (% y)	dr ^a
1		92 (64)	> 20:1	5		93 (80)	> 20:1
2		94 (78)	> 20:1	6		94 (52)	> 20:1
3		86 (67)	> 20:1	7		99 (50)	> 20:1
4		87 (70)	> 20:1				

^a Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

Table 4. One-Pot Asymmetric Alkyl Addition/Diastereoselective Bromo- and Chlorocyclopropanation (Scheme 7)

entry	product	% ee (% y)	dr ^a	entry	product	% ee (% y)	dr ^a
1		99 (70)	> 20:1	6		99 (65)	> 20:1
2 ^b		95 (75)	> 20:1	7 ^b		95 (70)	> 20:1
3 ^b		96 (80)	> 20:1	8		96 (70)	> 20:1
4 ^c		99 (70)	> 20:1	9 ^c		99 (59)	> 20:1
5		97 (77)	> 20:1	10		97 (70)	> 20:1

^a Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ^b Stereochemistry assigned by X-ray analysis; see the Supporting Information. ^c 10 mol % (-)-MIB was used with ZnMe₂.


temperature. Under these conditions, the reactions reached a maximum of 60% conversion after 60 h. Increasing the concentration of the carbenoid to 2.50 M resulted in consumption of the allylic alkoxide over 50 h. Despite significant efforts to optimize the chlorocyclopropanation with this carbenoid, the results were not satisfactory. Fortunately, we found that the tandem asymmetric addition/diastereoselective chlorocyclopropanation could be achieved by combining 5 equiv each of diethylzinc, dibromochloromethane, and trifluoroethanol to generate a 2.5 M carbenoid, CF₃CH₂OZnCHClBr. At this concentration, the consumption of the allylic alkoxide was complete in 24 h at room temperature. After optimization, yields ranged from 59 to 70% with dr's of >20:1 (Table 4, entries 6–10).

The stereochemistries of the chlorocyclopropyl alcohols were assigned by X-ray structure determination of **3b** (entry 7) and

measurement of the H–H coupling constants of the cyclopropyl moiety by NMR spectroscopy, as outlined above for the bromo- and iodocyclopropyl alcohols (also see the Supporting Information). The stereochemical outcomes of these tandem reactions were analogous to those observed in the iodo- and bromocyclopropanation reactions. In particular, the trans relationship between the chloride and the carbinol in **3c** was confirmed by an independent synthesis involving hydroxy-directed dichlorocyclopropanation followed by hydride reduction using Bu₃SnH/AIBN.^{76,77}

The synthesis of fluorocyclopropyl alcohols still remains elusive because of the lack of available fluorohalomethane

(76) Mohamadi, F.; Still, W. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 893–896.
 (77) Nishii, Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1996**, 1243–1249.

Scheme 8. Allylation of Iodocyclopropyl Alcohol **1b** using Organocuprate Chemistry

precursors. We are currently investigating the stereoselective preparation of the corresponding fluorohalomethanes.

3.4. Functionalization of Iodocyclopropyl Alcohols. Despite extensive studies directed toward the synthesis of functionalized cyclopropane derivatives, the stereoselective preparation of cyclopropanes bearing alkyl substituents on each carbon remains challenging.^{38,78,79} A potentially useful approach to these multisubstituted cyclopropanes involves the generation and elaboration of metalated cyclopropanes. We envisioned that the halocyclopropyl alcohols introduced in the previous sections could be valuable precursors to metalated cyclopropanes if the stereochemistry of the metalation could be controlled. To explore this possibility, we prepared organocuprate reagents from iodocyclopropyl alcohol **1b**, as illustrated in Scheme 8. Previous work with organocopper(I) reagents has shown that formation of LiCuR₂ complexes stabilizes the resulting organocuprates toward thermal decomposition and increases the nucleophilicity of these reagents.^{80,81} Thus, we generated the cuprate by combining *n*-butyllithium and copper(I) iodide (2:1) in dry THF at -50°C . This reagent was then added to a cold solution of the iodocyclopropyl alcohol **1b** at the same temperature. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with excess allyl or methallyl bromide for an additional 30 min at -50°C . The reactions were worked up, and the desired 1,2,3-substituted cyclopropane derivatives **4** and **5** were isolated in good yields as single diastereomers, as judged by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture. The stereochemistry of the allylated cyclopropanes **4** and **5** was assigned on the basis of the cyclopropyl hydrogen H–H coupling constants of 8.3 and 8.1 Hz, respectively (see the Supporting Information).

The control of the stereochemistry in these metalation reactions likely arises from the stereochemical stability of the resulting cyclopropyl cuprates.⁸² These preliminary experiments suggest that our halocyclopropyl alcohols will be useful in the stereoselective generation of multisubstituted cyclopropane derivatives.

4. Conclusions and Outlook

The most significant limitation of the Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation reaction is the lack of efficient methods for transferring functionalized carbenoids with high stereoselectivity. To address this problem, we have introduced the first examples of highly diastereoselective halocyclopropanation reactions. Two

methods for the synthesis of iodocyclopropane derivatives have been developed. The first is initiated with a catalytic asymmetric addition of dialkylzinc reagents to a conjugated enal. The resulting allylic alkoxide is then treated with our newly developed carbenoid, (CF₃CH₂O)ZnCHI₂, to perform the iodocyclopropanation. Complementary to this method is the generation of the allylic alkoxide intermediate by enantioselective vinylation of saturated aldehydes. Thus, successive hydroboration of an alkyne, transmetalation of the boron-bound alkenyl group to zinc, and catalytic asymmetric vinylation of an aldehyde lead to an allylic alkoxide that undergoes iodocyclopropanation with Zn(CHI₂)₂. With these methods, iodocyclopropyl alcohols having up to four stereogenic centers can be prepared in a one-pot procedure with ee's between 87 and 99% and dr's of >20:1. Beginning with enals and using a similar strategy, we have introduced the first highly stereoselective examples of bromo- and chlorocyclopropanation reactions. The resulting bromo- and chlorocyclopropyl alcohols also have high ee's and dr's. In most cases, the alkoxide-directed halocyclopropanation resulted in the product with the halide and carbinol cis (dr >20:1 in all cases). However, an unexpected reversal of the diastereoselectivity was found when the allylic alkoxide contained a styryl group, resulting in a trans relationship between the halide and carbinol (dr >20:1).

Our initial studies indicate that the iodocyclopropanols can be metalated with LiCu(*n*-Bu)₂ and quenched with allylbromides to produce allylated cyclopropyl alcohols with high diastereoselectivity. These multisubstituted cyclopropanes would be difficult to prepare by other methods.

The development of general methods for the enantioselective cyclopropanation of alkenes and allylic alcohols remains a challenging goal in organic synthesis. Our approach involving enantioselective carbonyl additions followed by tandem diastereoselective cyclopropanations circumvents difficulties associated with enantioselective cyclopropanations and enables the efficient one-pot synthesis of functionalized cyclopropanes. Given the rapid increase in molecular complexity with defined stereochemical outcome, we anticipate that these methods will be useful in enantioselective synthesis.

Acknowledgment. We thank the NSF (CHE-065210) and the NIH (National Institute of General Medical Sciences GM58101) for partial support of this work. We are also grateful to Akzo Nobel Polymer Chemicals for a gift of dialkylzinc reagents.

Supporting Information Available: Procedures, full characterization, and stereochemical assignments of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

JA806989N

- (78) Liu, P.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 10772–10773.
 (79) Araki, S.; Shiraki, H.; Tanaka, T.; Nakano, H.; Subburaj, K.; Hirashita, T.; Yamamura, H.; Kawai, M. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 2784–2790.
 (80) Whitesides, G. M.; Fisher, W. F. J.; Filippo, J. S. J.; Bashe, R. W.; House, H. O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 4871–4882.
 (81) House, H. O.; Fischer, W. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1968**, *33*, 949–951.
 (82) Hiyama, H.; Yamamoto, H.; Nishio, K.; Kitatani, K.; Nozaki, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1979**, *52*, 3632–3637.